

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,050	03/18/2004	Ronald S. Plantan	011351.52876US	4608
23911 7	590 09/14/2005	EXAMINER		
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			KRAMER, DEVON C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20044-4300		3683	-
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/803,050	PLANTAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Devon C. Kramer	3683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror c, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 July 2005</u> .					
	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	±х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1) The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the hub adapter must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,050 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3) Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-11, 13, 15, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hamperl (2003/0111893).

IN re claims 1, 3, 11, 13, Hamperl provides a disc brake for a vehicle, comprising: a rotor (4); a caliper (inherent to the design); the rotor having a hub portion (4.2) adapted to be mounted to a wheel hub (3) of an axle; the rotor having a connecting portion (4.4) which positions the rotor outside an envelope of the wheel.

In re claims 5 and 15, please note that the device of Hamperl must use a caliper to act against the brake disk and the caliper must have some type of mount.

In re claims 7-8, 17-18, see figure 1.

In re claims 9-10 and 19-20, please note that the bolt 17 can be considered a hub adapter.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,050 Page 4

Art Unit: 3683

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5) Claims 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamperl (2003/0111893) in view of lizuka et al (2002/0029940).

Hamperl inherently has a caliper with a mount, but is silent to where the caliper is mounted.

lizuka et al teaches a caliper mounted to a vehicle axle. (figure 4)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have mounted the caliper inherently taught by Hamperl to the vehicle axle as taught by lizuka et al merely to provide a secure area for the caliper.

6) Claims 2, 4, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamperl (2003/0111893).

The arrangement of Hamperl allows for a significant number of different sizes of the rotor.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the rotor of Hamperl to be larger than the rim depending on the amount of surface area desired to have a friction force applied and since it has been

**Art Unit: 3683** 

held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable requires only routine skill in the art. IN re Boesch, 617F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

#### Response to Arguments

7) Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
 examiner should be directed to Devon C. Kramer whose telephone number is 571-272 7118. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Devon C Kramer Examiner Art Unit 3683

DK

DEVON C. KRAMER BATENT EXAMINES